### Canaport LNG Project Canaport Community Environmental Liaison Committee (CCELC)

Minutes of Meeting CCELC # 47 Tuesday, 6 January 2009 Red Head United Church Hall, Saint John, NB Meeting 6:00 pm – 8:55 pm

#### Approved as Amended

#### **Committee Present:**

- Armstrong, Carol
- Armstrong, Stuart
- Dalzell, Gordon
- Forsythe, Fraser
- Griffin, Dennis
- Johnston, Jan
- McNeill, Pam
- Rogers, Kathy
- Smith, Elsie
- Brown, Alice
- Court, Ivan
- Debly, Teresa
- MacKinnon, Claude
- Hunter, Roger

#### Committee Absent:

- Garnett, Vern
- Melvin, Keith
- Thompson, David
- Griffin, Glenn
- Perry, Yvonne
- Turner, Rick

#### **Resources:**

- Forsythe, Joel
- O'Brien, Kevin
- Day, Emilie
- Mayes, Chris
- Van der Veen, Carolyn
- MacDonald, Jeff
- Sherrard, Jim

Resident

Co-chair of CCELC, Resident SJ Citizens Coalition for Clean Air

Co-Chair (Canaport LNG)

Resident

- Resident
- Resident
- Member
- Resident

Resident Mayor of Saint John

- Resident
- ACAP Representative
- Resident
- Resident

Enterprise Saint John Member Resident Member Saint John Board of Trade

Fundy Engineering

City of Saint John Department of the Environment Fundy Engineering Canaport LNG Irving Oil Limited Fluor

#### (1) OPENING REMARKS:

The meeting commenced at 6:00 pm with Fraser Forsythe welcoming everyone to the meeting. Fraser Forsythe introduces Jeff MacDonald (Irving Oil Limited), Jim Sherrard (Fluor), and Emilie Day (NBDENV). The agenda was approved.

#### Review & Approval of minutes from 20 October 2008 meeting:

Gordon Dalzell stated that the link to the Brunswick Pipeline Emergency Response Plan (ERP) on the NEB website was not available. He felt this was important due to the Brunswick Pipeline proximity to the entrance of Canaport LNG.

Fraser Forsythe stated that Canaport LNG is not responsible for the Brunswick Pipeline ERP, but they would notify Brunswick Pipeline of this issue.

# Action 47-1: Canaport LNG to notify Brunswick Pipeline of non-working link to ERP on the NEB website.

Q1: (Carol Armstrong) Is there going to an emergency measures presentation? A1: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, that revolves around the Canaport LNG ERP and Muriel Provost from Emergency Measures Organization.

Q2: (Carol Armstrong) Could Muriel Provost speak to the committee? A2: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, but she can only speak to the Emergency Management Plan for Canaport LNG and not to the Brunswick Pipeline. Carol Armstrong stated it would be nice to have an understanding of what to do in an emergency from a talk with Muriel Provost. Fraser Forsythe stated there was a plan for her to talk to the committee.

Q3: (Carol Armstrong) When is terminal going to start? February? March? A3: (Fraser Forsythe) I am just a messenger for the construction activities on site. I expect there to be delays. I can not give you an exact date for start-up.

Carol Armstrong stated that she needs to know the start-up date so she can make arrangements to leave.

Fraser Forsythe stated that he was unsure because it is construction related.

- Q4: (Carol Armstrong) When is the next meeting? A4: (Fraser Forsythe) March.
- Q5: (Carol Armstrong) Will you know in March?
- A5: (Fraser Forsythe) We will have a better idea in March.
- Q6: (Teresa Debly) Why not meet with Muriel prior to start-up?

A6: (Fraser Forsythe)

Q7: (Carol Armstrong) I would like to know the emergency plan and the evacuation plan?

A7: (Fraser Forsythe) There is a Emergency Measures Program and an Emergency Measures Plan within it. My responsibility is to notify the people on site, and contact EMO. If evacuation is necessary, it is EMO's responsibility.

Q8: (Teresa Debly) Will EMO have a evacuation procedure prior to start-up? A8: (Fraser Forsythe) That is Saint John EMO. When we a re prepared, we will meet with Saint John EMO to discuss emergency procedures.

Gordon Dalzell brought to the attention of the committee an emergency preparedness document.

Ivan Court stated that was something from the pipeline project.

Q9: (Gordon Dalzell) Do you have to approve the EMO/EMP? A9: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes.

Q10: (Gordon Dalzell) Is that complete? A10: (Fraser Forsythe) No.

Q11: (Gordon Dalzell) Does that have to be complete six months in advance? A11: (Fraser Forsythe) The draft report does.

Gordon Dalzell stated that he would like someone to brief the committee from EMO.

Q12: (Carol Armstrong) Could we have someone speak to the committee? A12: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, Muriel Provost.

Q13: (Teresa Debly) At the next meeting? A13: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes.

Q14: (Stuart Armstrong) Could we have someone to address the committee or present the plan prior to gas being in the pipeline? It does not have to be a

finalized plan, but it should be presented at the next meeting.

Action 47-2: Have Saint John Emergency Measures Organization present and/or update committee on EMP.

Fraser Forsythe asked if there was any more issues with the October minutes. Teresa Debly brought to the attention of the committee page 6 in the October minutes.

Q15: (Teresa Debly) Why are the meetings now being held bi-weekly? Who's decision was this? I missed the last meeting.

A15 (Fraser Forsythe) I made the decision because I felt it was no longer necessary to meet on a monthly basis.

Dennis Griffin stated that there were 24 conditions to construct, and he felt that there has been two violations of these conditions. He felt that in the conditions it stated that the committee must meet monthly and that the committee was shrinking when it should be expanded. He also stated that the committee is now only made up of a handful of people and that there should be more input from local residence, more people should be involved. Committee should be expanded to 24 - 25 people. Mr. Griffin wanted to know who was liable to the residence of Red Head if something should occur at the LNG site that causes damage to local residents property.

Q16: (Dennis Griffin) Could Ms. Day to review conditions and see if any violations have occurred?

A16: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes we can have Emilie check.

Q17: (Teresa Debly) Did you contact anyone in Fredericton regarding this? Who made this decision?

A17: (Fraser Forsythe) I did.

Q18: (Teresa Debly) Who's your boss at Repsol? A18: (Fraser Forsythe) Jorge Ciaciarelli.

Q19: (Teresa Debly) Did he make this decision? A19 (Fraser Forsythe) No, I did.

Q20: (Teresa Debly) Was he aware of this decision? A20: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes he is aware of it.

Q21: (Teresa Debly) Who is your boss at Irving Oil?

A21: (Fraser Forsythe) I don't have a boss at Irving Oil.

Q22: (Teresa Debly) So, are Irving Oil aware of this decision? A22: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes they are.

Q23: (Teresa Debly) Why bi-monthly meetings?

A23: (Fraser Forsythe) Because there is no longer a need to meet monthly. There is not enough activity on site to justify continuing with monthly meetings.

Q24: (Teresa Debly) Why wasn't this voted on? Are you trying to keep us in the dark? We were told the project was 87% done and that it should be operational at the end of the first quarter of 2009. Is this March or April?

A24: (Fraser Forsythe) It will be in that timeframe, it could be March 31 or April 1.

Q25: (Teresa Debly) So you decided that the residents should get this information in March?

A25: (Fraser Forsythe) you have contact information, website *etc.* and quite frankly the committee is shrinking.

Dennis Griffin stated that you (Fraser) decreased the committee size.

Fraser Forsythe stated that some committee members have simply left or moved away like Peter Sherman and Rainer Malcherek.

Teresa Debly asserted that Canaport LNG was either too cheap or was trying to hide information from the committee.

Fraser Forsythe responded that this is not a voting issue.

Dennis Griffin stated that the conditions of construction state that there should be monthly information updates provided. He also stated that Canaport LNG is building the terminal as cheaply as possible.

Fraser Forsythe requested that the committee return to the approval of the minutes.

Gordon Dalzell brought the committee's attention to page 8 of the minutes from meeting 46. Stated that there was a former action item from approximately two years ago regarding navigational exclusion zones with LNG tankers. Mr Dalzell's concern was that the action item was not addressed but, by not being in the current action items it makes it appear as being addressed.

Fraser Forsythe replied that they have tried to have Capt. Soffit or Capt McCann address the committee regarding the exclusion zones.

Gordon Dalzell stated that these exclusion zones can have significant restrictions on shipping and that by not addressing this issue over two years he suspects that information is being hidden.

Fraser Forsythe restated that they have tried to have someone from the SJ Port Authority or Atlantic Pilotage Authority (APA) speak at the committee.

Ivan Court stated that he has been assured in a meeting with Robbie McAdam that the cruise ship industry will not be impacted by the LNG traffic. He also stated that he has had meetings with the police and fire chief and that they will have to sign off on the EMP and that some things in this plan cannot be made public due to safety concerns. He further stated that an evacuation plan has been sent out by Brunswick Pipeline.

Q25: (Gordon Dalzell) Could we ask that Capt. Soffit to speak to committee?

Stuart Armstrong requested that he be asked to send a report in lieu of attending.

Ivan Court suggested that it may be better to send him a list of questions and have him provide answers to the committee.

Gordon Dalzell stated that regardless of the method the committee should be advised of the exclusion zones.

Q26: (Dennis Griffin) Have any considerations been made regarding the impacts of the Eider Rock project considering the proximity to the LNG terminal?

A26: (Fraser Forsythe) I can't address that. I expect the refinery EIA will cover those issues. The refinery will have to look at the impact to all shipping traffic including LNG traffic.

Q27: (Dennis Griffin) Who would have more influence in that area? The LNG terminal or the refinery? Who would have more say?

A27: (Fraser Forsythe) The Saint John Port Authority using recommendations from the Marine Safety Act would have jurisdiction.

Q28: (Dennis Griffin) Would LNG have authority to stop the Refinery marine terminal.

A28: (Fraser Forsythe) No.

Q29: (Dennis Griffin) Is there a specific way ships will be berthed at the LNG terminal.

A29: (Fraser Forsythe) All ships will be docked on the port side, with the bow pointed towards Cape Spencer.

Q30: (Dennis Griffin) So, if there is an emergency, and the ship has to discharge, it will go towards Cape Spencer.

A30: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, it will head towards Cape Spencer and turn to starboard and head out into the bay.

The minutes of meeting #46 on 20 October 2008 were approved, motioned by Gordon Dalzell and seconded by Roger Hunter, with the following changes:

• Page 6: Note that link to Brunswick Pipeline ERP on NEB website was not working.

Approved minutes will be posted to the Canaport LNG website (<u>www.canaportIng.com</u>) and the Fundy Engineering website (<u>www.fundyeng.com</u>).

#### (2) BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MEETING:

#### Action Items October 2008:

46-1: Kevin O'Brien stated that portions of Bayside Drive that required line painting were completed.

46-2: (Fraser Forsythe) Fundy Engineering to provide sound data for September, October and November.

43-3: (Fraser Forsythe) There is no crushing occurring for the LNG site.

Q31: (Dennis Griffin) What do you consider the site? Do you consider the area near Rock Corner part of the site.

A32: (Fraser Forsythe) No, the area near Rocky Corner is not part of the site. We do not anticipate any rock crushing for the LNG site. Our partner may be crushing rock for the Crude terminal.

Q32: (Dennis Griffin) Is there a permit from DENV required for quarrying rock? A32: (Emilie Day) It depends if there is blasting or not. We have no jurisdiction if there is no blasting.

Q33: (Dennis Griffin) Is there any permits required for quarrying rock? A33: (Ivan Court) The city amended the bylaw several years ago and you do require a Pit and Quarry permit.

Q34: (Dennis Griffin) Was there excavation of rock on site? A34: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes there was excavation, but no permit for construction.

Ivan Court stated that construction is different than quarrying and no permit is required.

Q35: (Carol Armstrong) Is the emergency access road complete? A35: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, it is complete.

46-4: (Fraser Forsythe) All workers were reminded to exercise caution on Halloween night.

#### (3) **PRESENTATION**

#### Monobuoy and Subsea Line Reliability Project

Jeff MacDonald (IOL Refinery Environmental Manager)

Mr. MacDonald gave a brief Powerpoint presentation on Irving Oil's proposed emergency / maintenance crude oil offloading capabilities at the LNG jetty.

Questions arising from presentation.

Q36: (Teresa Debly) How often is Monobuoy inspected?

A36 (Jeff MacDonald) It depends on the part being inspected, for example, hoses are inspected annually. We follow the American Petroleum Institute (API) standards schedule for inspections.

Q37: (Dennis Griffin) Did any sidecasting occur during inspection of chains? A37: (Jeff MacDonald) Yes, we did some sidecasting to inspect one chain. Only one chain is inspected during chain inspections.

Q38 (Dennis Griffin) Why do you only check one chain? A38: (Jeff MacDonald) All chains were installed at the same time, so they check one chain only to reduce damage to fish habitat.

Q39: (Teresa Debly) When did pipe float to the surface? A39: (Jeff MacDonald) I'm not sure, I'm not familiar with that happening.

Teresa Debly stated that the crude oil pipe from the monobuoy to Canaport floated to the surface at some point. She belived it was sometime in the early 1970's.

Q40: (Dennis Griffin) Is there an EIA required for this project?

A40: (Fraser Forsythe) Canaport LNG holds the EIA for the jetty. Any change in the project has to be approved by NBDENV. This is subject to Condition 5 of the EIS. It is unlikely to require a comprehensive EIA.

Q41: (Gordon Dalzell) So the multipurpose pier will now be used for offloading crude oil?

A41: (Jeff MacDonald) The crude line is replacing the approved orimulsion line. The jetty will be used to offload crude during outages and inspections of the monobuoy.

Q42: (Gordon Dalzell) Is there any expectation of this replacing the monobuoy? A42: (Jim Sherrard) The monobuoy and associated piping has recently been inspected and was shown to have plenty of service life left. Also, the jetty will only have the ability to offload ships with a capacity of one million barrels of crude oil, where the monobuoy can handle ships up to 2.2 million barrels. So it is highly unlikely that the jetty offloading system will replace the monobuoy.

Q43: (Gordon Dalzell) What is the diameter of the crude pipeline on the jetty? A43: (Jeff MacDonald) The pipeline is 30" (762 mm).

Q44: (Dennis Griffin) Isn't the pipeline for the monobuoy 24" (610 mm)? A44: (Jim Sherrard) No, its 30" (762 mm) also.

Q45: (Kathy Rogers) Could you clarify the exclusion zones when two ships are in (a crude ship on the monbuoy and a LNG ship at the jetty)?

A45: (Fraser Forsythe) The exclusion zones are half mile radius for monobuoy and 500 m from the face of the jetty.

Q46: (Dennis Griffin) With Eider Rock having a terminal in the future, you could have three crude ships offloading at once?

A46: (Jeff MacDonald) No, there will not be a crude ships offloading at the jetty and the monobuoy at the same time.

Jim Sherrard stated that it is impossible to have two crude ships unloading at the same time because there will be a shut-off valve separating the two lines.

Gordon Dalzell suggested that it be included in the EIA documentation about the possibility of three crude oil offloading facilities in Mispec Bay.

Q47: (Dennis Griffin) Will it be possible to offload Bunker 'C' at the crude pipeline on the jetty?

A47: (Jeff MacDonald) Canaport is set up to handle only crude oil; there is no infrastructure at the site to handle Bunker 'C".

Dennis Griffin stated that the new refinery can burn Bunker 'C' for petcoke (petroleum coke).

Jeff MacDonald stated that he is not sure of the plans for the Eider Rock project. They are only looking at an alternate means of offloading crude oil.

Q48: (Kathy Rogers) How many ships and with how capacity offload at the monobuoy each year?

A48: (Jeff MacDonald) There are between 60 and 70 ships, with sizes ranging from one million barrels to 2.2 million barrels.

Q49: (Ivan Court) Regarding the relay system during the monobuoy outage, what was the largest ship that could enter Courtney Bay? A49: (Jeff MacDonald) Approximately 250,000 barrels.

Q50: (Teresa Debly) Where was the permit from? A50: (Jeff MacDonald) It was a ship-to-ship transfer permit from DFO.

Q51: (Teresa Debly) How did you apply or this? A51: (Jeff MacDonald) It was an emergency permit.

Q52: (Ivan Court) How much time did it take for the ship to offload? A52: (Jeff MacDonald) About 14 – 18 hours to load the shuttle ship.

Q53: (Ivan Court) How many ships were used? A53: (Jeff MacDonald) I'm not certain, but I think two. Fraser Forsythe stated that he thought there were 2 to 3 ships.

Q54: (Teresa Debly) How much does the permit cost? A54: (Jeff MacDonald) I'm not sure.

Q55: (Dennis Griffin) There was approval for an orimulsion line from Canaport to Bayside Drive. Will there be a new crude line from Canaport to Bayside Drive? A55: (Jeff MacDonald) There are no plans for this.

Jim Sherrard added that the pipe travels inland to the tank farm, avoiding the Bay of Fundy as much as possible.

Q56: (Dennis Griffin) Are you (Jim Sherrard) aware that the jetty took damage on the 29 of October? I witnessed the guard rail get ripped of by a wave. A56: (Jim Sherrard) I was there on 29 October and we are aware that a cable tray was damaged. We will be designing additional supports with guides in that area to prevent damage to the pipe.

Q57: (Dennis Griffin) Will pipeline be at the same elevation of the guard rails? A57: (Jim Sherrard) Yes, it will be about level with the road (deck).

Gordon Dalzell requested that the EIA address the issue of wave action possibly causing damage to the crude line on the jetty.

Q58: (Kathy Rogers) What is the offloading rate of crude ships at the jetty? A58: (Jim Sherrard) One million barrel ships, maximum, with 24 hour offloading at the jetty.

Q59: (Kathy Rogers) What will be the maximum number of LNG ships at the jetty?

A59: (Fraser Forsythe) Approximately 120 ships per year.

Q60: (Dennis Griffin) Doesn't Repsol plan to double the capacity to 2.5 BSCF? The president of Repsol said they are planning to do this.

A60: (Fraser Forsythe) The Repsol president said that Canaport LNG has the capacity to expand to 2.5 BSCF per day. There is no plan, he stated it was possible.

Dennis Griffin stated that with the refinery coming, the residents have concerns about having three offloading facilities, trains, and trucks on that highway in Red Head.

Gordon Dalzell stated that he hoped that Fundy Baykeeper and the New Brunswick Conservation Council were included as stakeholders in the EIA document.

Q61: (Dennis Griffin) Why can't the larger ships offload at the jetty? A61: (Jeff MacDonald) It is because of the size of the ships.

Fraser Forsythe added that there are two reasons that the larger ships can not offload at the jetty; 1) the jetty is not designed to handle ships that large and 2) the approach to the jetty is not adequate for those types of ships.

Kathy Rogers, that of all the pilots she knows, not one would bring a ship in to the jetty if they felt it was unsafe, or under unsafe conditions and that a pilot has the authority, if they feel it necessary, to refuse to dock a ship for any reason.

Q62: (Gordon Dalzell) What is the regulatory process for this project? A62: (Jeff MacDonald) We are following the direction of the NBDENV. There is a technical document being created, and there will be public access.

Q63: (Gordon Dalzell) Does the project have to be registered with NBDENV? Will information from this meeting be captured?

A63: (Jeff MacDonald) Yes, we are gathering questions and gathering them in the document. We've hired Fundy Engineering to complete the EIA.

#### (4) UPDATES:

#### **NBDENV Monthly Status Reports:**

Emilie Day provided a copy of the Monthly Status Report to members, and briefly reviewed the report. The following questions / comments were made during the status report updates.

Q64: (Gordon Dalzell) Regarding the Wetland Compensation Projects, is this like a HADD?

A64: (Fraser Forsythe) It involves the compensation for the wetlands that were affected by the project.

Q65: (Dennis Griffin) What wetlands were they?

A65: (Fraser Forsythe) It included all wetlands on the site and wetlands that were impacted for the secondary access road and the emergency access road.

Q66: (Gordon Dalzell) Are the wetlands under provincial or federal jurisdiction? A66: (Fraser Forsythe) They are under provincial jurisdiction.

Q67: (Gordon Dalzell) Is there an opportunity for public input?

A67: (Fraser Forsythe) We have been working with NBDENV to determine suitable compensation projects.

Q68: (Kathy Rogers) Are all the spills on site reported?

A68: (Emilie Day) Yes they are. Not ever spill is inspected, but they are all reported. This is because there are no wells on site and because it is an industrial site.

Fraser Forsythe stated that there is a mitigation plan in place for spills and ever spill is reported. The larger spills are investigated; smaller spills are not inspected because they are easily cleaned up.

Q69: (Dennis Griffin) Was water testing for the hydrostatic test done? A69: (Emilie Day) Yes.

Fraser Forsythe stated that the water from the tanks was tested for TSS, metals, and general chemistry. These are provided to the NBDENV and to the DFO.

Q70: (Dennis Griffin) Why does the committee not get these results? A70: (Fraser Forsythe) I don't have to provide it to the committee and I won't. I have to provide it to DENV, and they can provide it to you.

Q71: (Stuart Armstrong) Can we have Emilie add this to her monthly status report?

A71: (Emilie Day) Yes, I can add it.

Q72: (Dennis Griffin) Are only the onshore spills reported? A72: (Fraser Forsythe) The offshore spills are highlighted in grey.

Q73: (Gordon Dalzell) Could you explain the first sentence of 1.0 of Environmental Compliance Report?

A73: (Emilie Day) I'm unsure of what that means.

Gordon Dalzell proposed that the committee be able to have access to the Approval to Operate in order to review and comment.

## Action 47.3: Have NBDENV provide the committee with the Approval to Operate so it can be reviewed and commented on prior to next meeting.

Carol Armstrong commented on sound monitoring and the source of the 200 plus exceedences were the result of activities from Brunswick Pipeline. She stated that the residents were fed up.

Emilie Day stated that NBDENV is investigating the sound exceedences and considering legal action.

Q74: (Gordon Dalzell) Does the DENV regularly review the tracking database? A74: (Emilie Day) Yes we do. Q75: (Gordon Dalzell) Do you give a score for compliance, like 99% compliant, or 100% compliant or possibly less compliant. A75: (Emilie Day) Not currently.

Q76: (Gordon Dalzell) Could the Department possibly give the project a scorecard for compliance upon completion?

#### Canaport Site Update:

Fraser Forsythe provided an update on construction activities offshore and onshore. SNC is working on piping installation on platform, and working on the laser ranging system on the jetty. There is also work ongoing with the firewater pumps and the diesel backup and they've filled their firewater cushion tank. Onshore they are insulating in Tank 1, hydrostatic testing Tank 2, and insulating and installing vapour barrier. We are insulating piping in the SCV and BOG areas. Work with the utilities has pretty much wrapped up and in the control area the distributed control system (DCS) system is being installed.

Q77: (Carol Armstrong) What about the metering station? A77: (Fraser Forsythe) I'm not sure. Maybe 1 to 2 months away.

Q78: (Gordon Dalzell) Is that the infrastructure that is above ground? A78: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes.

Gordon Dalzell stated that he'd like to bring it to the attention of the committee of an incident in British Columbia where sabotage occurred on some above ground infrastructure on a pipeline.

Q79: (Gordon Dalzell) What about the lighting? When will it be complete? What about illumination?

A79: (Fraser Forsythe) I'm unsure when lighting will be complete. We are currently lighting for security.

Q80: (Carol Armstrong) Why were the trees removed from Rocky Corner? A80: (Fraser Forsythe) The fence was cut, so the trees were removed to prevent theft.

Q81: (Carol Armstrong) What about the sign at the entrance? A81: (Fraser Forsythe) It still hasn't been resolved.

Q82: (Carol Armstrong) Who is responsible for the Christmas card? There were 3 and 4 year olds drawing those pictures. I didn't appreciate it. It did not convey the true meaning of Christmas.

A82: (Carolyn Van der Veen) I take responsibility for that. I apologize. You will be removed from the mailing list.

Fraser Forsythe stated that no offence was meant.

Carol Armstrong stated that she didn't need a Christmas card to remind her that an LNG facility was across the street, she could look out her window and see it.

Gordon Dalzell wanted to bring to the attention and article on the Canadian Cancer Society's website called "The Environment, Cancer and You."

#### ADJOURNED:

8:55 pm Submitted by: Fundy Engineering

#### NEXT MEETING DATE:

Red Head Church on Monday, 9 March 2009 at 6:00 pm

#### ATTACHMENTS:

NBDENV Monthly Status Report – November 2008 Table of Outstanding Action Items (October) Monobuoy and Subsea Line Reliability Project Presentation

### Table of Actions/Responsibilities – January 2009

Action #	Action Item	Responsible Party	Due Date
47-1	Canaport LNG to notify Brunswick Pipeline of non-working link to ERP on the NEB website.	Fraser Forsythe	March Meeting 2009
47-2	Have Saint John Emergency Measures Organization present and/or update committee on EMP.	Fraser Forsythe	March Meeting 2009
47-3	Have NBDENV provide the committee with the Approval to Operate so it can be reviewed and commented on prior to next meeting.	Emilie Day	March Meeting 2009